

STAKEHOLDER DIALOGUE GUIDE

Program: National Planning Scenarios Review

Concept: Hold 90-minute discussions, scalable to in-person, online collaboration or tele-conference, to confirm influential stakeholder opinion related to the National Planning Scenarios for inclusion into the NPS Analysis of Findings Report and to include for a potential Scenario revision and review process.

Session sponsor may invite participation and host the event with FEMA NPD staff, providing background on the Scenarios and the process for review, revision and management. The discussion may be facilitated though posing questions in this guide to the group, recording the session and including the comments in the review.

These sessions will allow NPD to gain greater insight into the organizational, operational and policy challenges related to the Scenarios, identify unique operational aspects that for considerations and determine and manage stakeholder expectations as a routine engagement proactive practice.

Potential Agenda: National Planning Scenarios Stakeholder Dialogue Session

AGENDA	TIME	POC
Welcome & Introductions	5 minutes	Session Sponsor
NPS Orientation and Current Use	25 minutes	Josh Dozor, NPD
Scenario Effectiveness	20 minutes	tbd
Threat & Hazard Inclusions	20 minutes	tbd
Future of National Planning Scenarios	20 minutes	tbd
Thanks & Next Steps	5 minutes	Josh Dozor, NPD
Conclusion	5 minutes	Session Sponsor

The following are discussion topics or categories to help facilitate the meeting that are representative of the full survey distributed throughout the federal government.

Q1. How would you describe the current, key uses of the Scenarios? How effective are the scenarios in supporting such uses?

Examples include:

Identification of Needed Tasks / Capabilities
Planning
Testing Plans

Policy
Grants / Investment Allocation
Budgeting
Risk Analysis

Assessments
Exercise
Other

Q2. What should the scenarios expressly be used for or not be used for? For example, some jurisdictions use the Scenarios for gap analysis and identification of needed capabilities, others use them for planning, policy and exercises while others use them for investment justifications in the homeland security grants? Are these appropriate use of scenarios?

Q3. How effectively do you think the National Planning Scenarios are driving capability formation at all levels from local to Federal?

Q4. To what extent should the Scenarios reflect all risks, hazards and threats that face the homeland? For example, should the Scenarios focus on national-, regional, or State/local-level risks? Should the Scenarios reflect most likely, most probable, or a combination of threats?

Q5. How satisfied are you with the current document structure or level of detail? For example, some would like as much detail as possible while others prefer a more general description.

Q6. What recommendations do you have regarding changes to the portfolio of Scenarios and/or prequels?

Q7. Do you have any recommendations specific to one or more of the individual scenarios and/or prequels?

Q8. Are there any particular stakeholder organizations that you feel should be involved in the scenario maintenance and management process?